Montville Planning & Zoning Commission
REGULAR MEETING
September 22, 2026 - 6:00 p.m.
Town Council Chambers – Town Hall
310 Norwich-New London Turnpike, Uncasville, CT 06382

Planning & Zoning Commission

MINUTES

1. **Call to Order**. Chairman Pieniadz called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

2. **Pledge of Allegiance**. All rose for the Pledge of Allegiance

3. **Roll Call and seating of Alternates**. **Present:** Chairman William Pieniadz, Vice Chair Wills Pike, Secretary John Desjardins, Commissioners Lisa Terry, Josh Kobyluck, Joseph Summers, Chuck Longton, Sara Lundy and Commissioner Mark Meyers. **Absent:** Alternate Mickey Gillette. **Also Present:** Land Use Director Dennis Goderre, Assistant Planner Meredith Badalucca, Zoning and Wetlands Officer Stacy Radford and Administrative Assistant Katherine Rose.

   Chairman Pieniadz reported that Mark Meyers was appointed as a full commission member and Mickey Gillette was appointed as an alternate commission member at the September 14, 2026 Town Council Meeting.

4. **Additions or Changes to the Agenda**. Chairman Pieniadz noted that Agenda Item 14b should read Robinson + Cole Letter dated September 9, 2026 regarding Notice of Exempt Modification – Facility Modification 911 Route 32, Montville, Connecticut

5. **Minutes:**
   a. Approval of the August 25, 2026 Regular Meeting Minutes

   Chairman Pieniadz noted that under agenda item 8b (page 2) 'septic' should be 'sewer' and the reference to the R-20 or R-40 zones should not be mentioned. Chairman Pieniadz stated that the August 25, 2026 regular meeting minutes should read, in part, "Director Dennis Goderre noted that a minimum 40,000 square foot lot was required per zoning regulations if **sewer** was not in place. Commissioner Terry asked if a 'tiny home' would qualify as an ADU, to which Assistant Planner Meredith Badalucca responded that in relation to the proposed text changes they would if they met building code requirements."

   MOTION (DESJARDINS/MEYERS) to APPROVE AS AMENDED the minutes of the August 22, 2026 Regular Meeting. All in favor. Motion APPROVED.

6. **Public Hearing**: NONE.

7. **Old Business**:
   a. **26 SITE 6 – 2020 Route 32 (099-045-00A) – Recycling Station to be Installed within Existing Parking Lot Limits –** Applicant: Count & Crush LLC, DBA CLYNK, Owner: Montville Station LLC *(Submitted 6/12/26, Dated of Receipt 6/23/26, DRD 8/27/26, 65 Day Extension Granted to 10/31/26)*

   Chairman Pieniadz stated that staff had not received any revised documents. Chairman Pieniadz reported that the applicant stated yesterday that their engineer is working to develop a scope of work and progress has been delayed due to end of Summers staff vacations within

both organizations, but they are actively moving forward. Chairman Pieniadz reported that staff reminded the applicant that the extension is only until October 31, 2026 and that the October meeting was October 27, 2026, after which no further extensions can be granted per Connecticut General Statutes.

MOTION (LONGTON/TERRY) to CONTINUE to the next regularly scheduled meeting of October 27, 2026. All in favor. Motion APPROVED.

b. **26 SITE 9 – 695 Route 32 (079-013-000)** Proposed Residential Development (Middle Housing Development to Include Three (3) Townhouses) – Applicant: John Eoanou; North Group, LLC, Owner: North Group LLC *(Submitted 7/14/26, Date of Receipt 7/28/26, DRD 10/1/26, Extension Granted to 10/27/26)*

Chairman Pieniadz stated that staff had not received any revised documents. Chairman Pieniadz stated that as the decision required date was October 1, 2026, staff contacted the applicant's engineer to inquire if they would be withdrawing the application or granting an extension. Chairman Pieniadz reported that the engineer, on behalf of the applicant, granted an extension to October 27, 2026.

MOTION (LONGTON/DESJARDINS) to CONTINUE to the next regularly scheduled meeting of October 27, 2026. All in favor. Motion APPROVED.

c. **26 POCD 1 - 2026 POCD Update** Proposed Amendments to the 2022 Montville Plan of Conservation and Development. Applicant: Town of Montville Planning and Zoning Commission. *(Submitted 7/28/26, Date of Receipt 7/28/26, PH Set for 10/27/26, DRD N/A)*

MOTION (LONGTON/TERRY) to CONTINUE to the next regularly scheduled meeting of October 27, 2026. All in favor. Motion APPROVED.

d. **26 SUB 1 – 211 Chapel Hill Road (028-008-000) – 2 Lot Subdivision –** Applicant: Andrew Myers, Owner: Andrew and Margo Myers *(Submitted 8/7/26, Dated of Receipt 8/25/26, DRD 10/29/26)*

Chairman Pieniadz stated that revised documents were received in the office on September 15, 2026 and referred out for review. Chairman Pieniadz stated that the Town Attorney was working with the applicant's attorney on the road widening warranty deeds and the Town Engineer needed to complete his review.

MOTION (PIKE/KOBYLUCK) to CONTINUE to the next regularly scheduled meeting of October 27, 2026. All in favor. Motion APPROVED.

e. **C.G.S. § 8-24 Review for the Future Sale of 192 Maple Avenue** *(Decision Required within 35 Days of Receipt by PZC)*

Assistant Planner Meredith Badalucca read her Staff Report into the record dated September 17, 2026. Assistant Planner Badalucca noted that staff did confirm with WPCA that there was public sewer hookup on the lot. Director Dennis Goderre explained that his conceptual plan for a six-unit townhome included in the staff report was one idea based on the way the topography of the lot was situated and appeared to be a potential good use of the property to recommend to Town Council, opposed to just putting the property out to sale if that were the

intent, as to help achieve the goals of affordable housing. Director Goderre noted that the Town Zoning Regulations allowed townhomes at the property as of right, as well as duplexes, multi-family flats, senior housing and active adult housing communities. Director Goderre stated the Town Engineer reviewed the conceptual plan and felt it would be feasible, although an Inland Wetlands permit would be necessary due to the intermittent watercourse on site.

Commissioner Lundy asked if the sale of the property would be contingent on a successful response to an RFP. Director Goderre reposed that the sale would go to RFP first for middle housing or housing that meets the town objectives, and if non-adequate or no responses were received the individual sale could proceed from there.

MOTION (TERRY/LONGTON) to APPROVE and forward a report of approval to the Town Council in accordance with C.G.S. §8-24 for the sale of 192 Maple Avenue (077-021-000) as requested by Mayor Leonard Bunnell and Finance Director, Julie Chapman, and find that such sale is consistent with the 2022 Plan of Conservation and Development and to include in the report the recommendations listed in the Staff Report prepared by Meredith Badalucca, Asst. Planner dated September 17, 2026. Roll call vote (9-0). Motion APPROVED.

f. **26 ZC 4 – Text Amendments to Section 2.14 Farm and Farm Building, Section 2.8 Accessory Dwelling Unit (In-Law Apartment) and Section 4B.16; and to add Affordable Accessory Dwelling Unit to Section 2.8 and Section 4B.6A Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU).** *(Submitted 8/21/26, Date of Receipt 8/25/26, PH set for 9/22/26, DRD N/A)* **WITHDRAWN 9/1/26**

Director Goderre explained that a public hearing had been scheduled for the text amendment application, however after discussions with staff and other planners regarding the requirement of affordability for ADU's a decision was made to withdraw the application and re-approach it with consideration to income thresholds. Director Goderre shared that based on real world examples and the number of permits received to the Land Use office in recent years staff felt the need may still exist due to age capabilities, not just affordability. Director Goderre stated that staff did not think the requirement would assist the town in meeting its affordability threshold. Director Goderre stated he would like the application to be presented again without the affordability aspect. Commissioner Terry stated she felt the withdrawal of the application was the right decision.

8. New Business:

a. **C.G.S. § 8-24 Review for a Lease Agreement and Relocation of PTA Lane** *(Decision Required within 35 Days of Receipt by PZC)*

Director Goderre clarified that the 8-24 Review presented was for a lease and relocation of PTA Lane, which differed from the discontinuance of PTA Lane that was voted on earlier in the year. Director Goderre noted that under Connecticut General Statutes the Town of Montville had the right to lease land to abutting property owners of roadways and sidewalks. Director Goderre explained that the map included in his Staff Report dated September 18, 2026 showed the area of the lease which extended approximately 500 feet from Route 32 over to the Mohegan School property. Director Goderre continued that PTA Lane was proposed to be relocated to the south of the property and loop back up to connect to the school property at the same location as the existing PTA Lane. Director Goderre stated that the relocation of the road would be paid for by the lessee and would incur no cost to the town.

Director Goderre noted that PTA Lane terminated at Mohegan School and acted as a through way, even though it was not an official roadway. Director Goderre explained that because there were no proposed closings of any of the roadways the Mohegan School operations would remain as they currently as are. Director Goderre shared, as detailed in his staff report, that the properties along PTA fell within various zoning districts, and that the north and south portions of PTA Lane were within the Job Investment Area. Director Goderre stated that the land east of PTA Lane was identified as Housing Investment Areas, which was detailed on the map within his staff report. Director Goderre explained that the abutting 10.5-acre property at 15 Golden Road was a mobile home park that also had a multi-family home, two single-family residences and a three-bay garage on the property. Director Goderre continued that this created a non-conforming use due to the property not meeting the 20-acre requirement in Montville for a mobile home park, as well as the various housing types in place. Director Goderre added that the non-conforming uses could not be increased in any way and noted that there were 17 units on the property. Director Goderre stated that the owner of 15 Golden Road did have the opportunity to change the use of the property under zoning regulations to be consistent with the Plan of Conservation and Development (POCD). Director Goderre reported that the parcels located at 1902 Route 32, 1865 Route 32 and 73 PTA Lane would not be directly affected, though staff included a recommendation for access within his staff report that would enhance safety. Director Goderre stated that staff believed the request was consistent with the POCD, current zoning regulations and would support the objectives of the Future Land Use Map. Director Goderre shared that the request would accommodate the Job Investment Area where PTA Lane is located and would not impede any future housing developments east of PTA Lane, which differed from the prior request. Director Goderre noted that the parcel was in the Route 32 Overlay Zone which by zoning regulations allowed for large retail and commercial uses. Director Goderre added that a large retail use would have the potential to create jobs, increase tourism and benefit other businesses in town. Director Goderre reiterated that the operations at Mohegan Elementary school would not be affected and buffer requirements would be included in the lease, as well as a proposal of monetary compensation to the school to relocate the playscape further away from the PTA Lane property. Director Goderre reported that comments were received from the Board of Education, Police Department, Fire Marshall and Public Works which were included in his staff report. Director Goderre stated that his supplement staff report dated September 22, 2026 included new information from Public Works about the life cycle of PTA Lane, which they felt only had three to four years remaining. Director Goderre continued that he was told PTA Lane is not built to town road standards and John Carlson, Director of Public Works, believed there was very little pavement under the existing chip seal. Director Goderre stated that any reconstruction of the road would need to occur in the near future and had an expected cost of approximately $500,000 to $600,000 to achieve a 20-year life span for the roadway. Director Goderre stated the lessee would be relocating the roadway and building a new road with a traffic signal at PTA Lane and Route 32 which was an estimated two-million-dollar improvement. Director Goderre stated that the new roadway would be slightly longer and have more earthwork involved to prepare the road bed area. Director Goderre noted that work would be exclusive of improvements at Golden Road and Route 32. Director Goderre stated that as mentioned in the prior 8-24 Request for PTA Lane, the use would be a major traffic generator and subject to review by the State of Connecticut Office of the State Traffic Administration (OSTA) as well as the Town of Montville Planning & Zoning Commission.

Director Goderre stated that staff would recommend to Town Council that a bond be required for the roadway or any public improvements in the value of the improvement and that PTA

Lane remain open at all times for passage to Mohegan School. Director Goderre stated that any intermittent road closings for construction would be coordinated with the school hours of operation. Director Goderre stated that any review costs for applications should be paid by the applicant. Director Goderre stated there would be a through traffic condition with PTA Lane remaining open, which would be the case with any developments in the area of Mohegan School, and it could be recommended for the PTA Lane lease that access be limited close to the school, in addition to vehicles being directed towards Route 32. Director Goderre stated that any improvements to Golden Road would likely happen by default due to OSTA and zoning requirements. Director Goderre stated that staff also recommends incorporating the COG Studies and Regional Safety Action Plan to whatever degree possible. Director Goderre stated that staff also strongly recommended that the lease include access to 1902 Route 32 unobstructed from the rear of the property, as well as the two parcels associated with Bonner Electric. Director Goderre stated that any displacement of housing would fall upon the current property owner selling the land, not the lessee. Director Goderre noted that C.G.S. § 21-70a and 21-70b cover the rights of mobile home owners in regards to the sale of a manufactured home park.

Commissioner Summers asked what the circumstance would be if the area leased was not approved by the Planning & Zoning Commission or Inlands Wetlands Commission. Director Goderre responded that the 8-24 Request was not to consider future applications as those would each be reviewed in their entirety.

Commissioner Lundy asked how the proposed lease differed legally and practically from the previous proposed sale of PTA Lane. Director Goderre responded that under the lease the Town of Montville would remain owner of the parcel. Commissioner Lundy asked if there was a particular development proposal that was driving the review request. Director Goderre responded that no applications had been received by the Land Use Office but presumed there was a need for the contiguous area, which as mentioned in his staff report the lease would create an opportunity for a planned-type development where PTA Lane exists currently as different parcels. Commissioner Lundy asked to clarify that a favorable 8-24 Report to approve any development would be a separate Land Use application with all ordinary required approvals necessary. Director Goderre responded the current 8-24 Request was only for the lease and relocation of the road. Director Goderre stated the lessee would need to go before the Inland Wetlands Commission with an application and the Planning and Zoning commission for a site plan approval, in addition to receiving necessary approvals at the state level.

Commissioner Pike asked if the lease would require a Town Council ordinance and a public hearing, to which Director Goderre responded it would. Commissioner Pike noted that in the Staff Report dated September 18, 2026 it stated the lessee would rebuild the Mohegan School playscape in the rear of the school. Director Goderre stated that was incorrect and that the lessee would provide funds for the playscape but not be responsible for rebuilding it. Commissioner Pike noted that the cost of an ADA compliant playscape was in the range of $500,000. Commissioner Pike asked how the flow of traffic on the school property during school hours would be mitigated. Director Goderre responded that would be addressed as much as possible in how vehicles are oriented to exit the area by working with the town and lessee's traffic engineers. Commissioner Pike asked what the status the parcel of land associated with the existing PTA Lane would be once the new road was created. Director Goderre responded that to his understanding the lease would remain in place for the roadway and the new PTA Lane would become a town road. Chairman Pieniadz added that after the

construction of the new roadway the existing PTA Lane would be discontinued through the parcel property, which is what would be leased. Director Goderre stated the road would be physically discontinued but not legally discontinued.

MOTION (LUNDY/LONGTON) to APPROVE and forward a report of approval to the Town Council in accordance with C.G.S. § 8-24 for the lease and relocation of PTA Lane as requested by Mayor, Leonard Bunnell and described in correspondence dated September 17, 2026, and as PTA Lane is so described upon the map titled Compilation Plan 87 PTA Lane (with note Legal Description – PTA Lane), dated January 20, 2025 with revision date January 8, 2026, and find that such lease and relocation is consistent with the 2022 Plan of Conservation and Development and to include in the report the recommendations listed in the Staff Report prepared by Dennis Goderre, Director of Land Use and Development dated September 18, 2026.
Roll call vote (9-0). Motion APPROVED.

9. Zoning Matters: ZEO Report

ZEO Report was read into the record by Zoning and Wetlands Officer Stacy Radford.

10. Updates and Status of Development of Town Comprehensive Plan (Housing Plan/POCD/Zoning Regulations/Subdivision Regulations/Design Guidelines)

a. Schedule Review
b. Recap – POCD / Housing Advisory Committee Meeting 9/9/26
c. POCD Public Kickoff Session 9/29/26 Community Center at 6PM

Director Goderre reported the POCD Public Kickoff Session with Goman + York was scheduled for the following week at the Community Center. Assistant Planner Badalucca stated that if any seniors were interested in attending they could sign up for bus transportation at the senior center, and if there was enough interest a bus could be scheduled. Director Goderre stated that a sample of the public survey drafted by Goman + York was provided to all commission members and asked that any feedback be provided by that Thursday. Director Goderre reported that the plan was a link to the public survey would be provided at the September 29, 2026 Public Kickoff Session.

Director Goderre shared a schedule of deliverables provided by Goman + York which noted the dates of future public engagement sessions. Director Goderre noted there was a good turnout at the September 9, 2026 POCD / Housing Advisory Committee Meeting and a productive roundtable discussion occurred. Commissioner Lundy, who serves as chairperson of the advisory committee on behalf of the Planning & Zoning Commission, asked the other members to refer any feedback regarding the POCD update to her.

Commissioner Pike asked if the WPCA was any closer to determining its capacity. Director Goderre responded he did not know the exact number but there was currently an RFP posted and due October 15, 2026 for determining the capacity of the facility which was being funded by a USDA grant and related to the Meadows property. Director Goderre stated the intent was to have the analysis complete by March 1, 2027. Commissioner Longton, as the WPCA chairperson, wished to note that during the last two months six rain storms occurred that dumped four inches of rain each time and the plant had no issues during that time, which did take waste processing into account. Director Goderre stated that the allocation of resources as

well as capacity needed to be examined.

11. Discuss Possible Text Amendments per Packet

Director Goderre summarized the proposed changes and additions to the regulations.

Director Goderre noted that in Section 9.7 of the current zoning regulations the maximum height of attached single-family flats had been omitted, which was now proposed to be included with a limit of 55 feet. Director Goderre suggested to staff that the height limit should be revised to 45 feet for the R-20 Zone. Commissioner Summers questioned that if single-family homes were not permitted in the R-20M Zone, as the proposed changes indicate, would single-family detached units be permitted. Director Goderre responded that single-family detached units were defined as a single-family home on common ownership land that would not require re-subdividing land, so a single-family detached unit would be permitted with mixed type properties such as townhomes.

Director Goderre explained that the intent of the proposed Integrated Developments (ID) within the Route 32 Overlay Zone was to allow an applicant to develop two or more parcels under separate ownerships or under common ownership without a lot line adjustment as an as-of-right use, if the criteria outlined in the proposed section 14A.12.3 was met. Director Goderre stated that an application that did not meet those criteria may still be permitted under a special permit if the development has direct access to public water and sewer along the frontage of one of the parcels. Director Goderre summarized the Master Plan Review provision included in the proposed zoning regulation text amendment addition. Commissioner Summers asked how property disputes would be handled for structures that cross parcels of different ownership, in regards to the proposed 'Section 14A.12 - INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENTS.' Director Goderre stated that would be addressed through easements and was covered within the proposed Redline Changes. Commissioner Summers noted there could be potential conflicts with the state building and fire codes which dealt with parcel lot lines versus zoning districts. Director Goderre responded that he spoke with the Town of Montville Building Official Mark Tebbets who felt there were engineering methods that could overcome and address the requirements. Commissioner Lundy asked if there was any thought as to why Montville had not allowed that type of development previously, or if it had not been considered. Director Goderre responded that it was not something all towns included but town counsel had seen it implemented in other places previously. Chairman Pieniadz stated he felt a driving force for the ID was the scarcity of property and properties on public utilities. Discussion ensued.

Director Goderre explained that the proposed new Zoning Regulation Section '18F.0 - BUILDING LOCATION: BUILDING-TO-ZONE AND BUILDING PLACEMENT' was to address the lack of controls in place to facilitate meeting some of the goals outlined in the Revive & Renew Routes 163 & 32 Strategic Investment Plan. Director Goderre stated the section introduced a regulation for a 'build-to-zone' and building placement, which was the requirement that a building be constructed at a certain distance within the property line. Director Goderre stated Section 18F.0 proposed that any property with frontage on Route 32 from the Waterford town line to just beyond Beit Street be required to construct to the build-to-zone, which was outlined as ten-to-fifteen-foot distance from the property line. Director Goderre shared he felt the build-to-zone was headed towards form-based-code design elements opposed to bulk standards.

Director Goderre stated he would like to bring forward public hearings for applications for the proposed amendments at the October 27, 2026 regular Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting. Commissioner Summers asked why the build-to-zone requirement was only being proposed to the distance north of Beit Street versus north of the police station. Director Goderre responded that his focus was on the Town Center but it could extend to that distance, which would be consistent with the CMDA Development District.

12. Update & Discuss CMDA Development District

Director Goderre reported that staff completed a zoning analysis with to ensure that housing was permitted as-of-right in the proposed development district and were awaiting the results of CMDA's analysis so they could move forward with the adoption of a development district.

13. **Land Use Director Report**: Director Goderre reported that the Town of Montville did not receive the CIF Grant for the Town Center work and could follow up with members following the debrief session.

a. Design Guidelines – Review Types/Approaches

Director Goderre stated that Goman + York was slated to begin working on redrafting the Town of Montville Zoning Regulations which was influenced by how the Town of Montville regulated design. Director Goderre summarized the types of design guidelines outlined in his memo dated September 22, 2026. Director Goderre suggested the Town of Montville utilize a hybrid Form Base Code approach and stated he was not recommending implementing a Design and Review Commission.

14. Correspondence:

a. **Town of Ledyard CGS Referral** dated September 10, 2026, received via email September 10, 2026, and received via certified mail September 14, 2026 regarding PZ#26-9SITE/MOD & PZ#26-10CAM - 1761 Route 12 (Map ID: 61-2120-1761), Gales Ferry, CT – Agent, Attorney Harry Heller; Heller, Heller & McCoy - Applicant/Owner, Gales Ferry Intermodal, LLC, for second Site Plan & Coastal Site Plan Modification approval for the relocation of a 6,000 sf building previously approved under Application PZ#23-4SUP & PZ23-5CAM and previously modified under PZ#24-5SITE & PZ#24-6CAMMOD for use as office space and marine maintenance facility.

Assistant Planner Badalucca reported that the Town of Montville received the referral for the modification of an approved site plan due to its 500 foot proximity to the property location. Assistant Planner Badalucca noted that under C.G.S. a town is to refer an application to the clerk of abutting towns within seven days from receiving it in their office via certified mail. Assistant Planner Badalucca stated the referral was received by the Land Use Department via email on September 10, 2026 and by certified mail on September 14, 2026. Assistant Planner Badalucca stated the Town of Ledyard Planning & Zoning Commission received the application at their September 10, 2026 meeting before the Town of Montville Planning & Zoning Commission had the opportunity to submit comments. Assistant Planner Badalucca stated that the modification would make the 6,000 square foot building 35 feet closer to the shorelands. Assistant Planner Badalucca stated the application was approved by the Town of Ledyard and she did not feel it would have any affect on the Town of Montville.

b. **Robinson + Cole Letter** dated September 9, 2026 – Notice of Exempt Modification –

Facility Modification 911 Route 32, Montville, Connecticut, pursuant to Connecticut State Agencies Section §16-50j-73.

Assistant Planner Badalucca stated the notification letter from Robinson + Cole explained that there would be one antenna and one remote radio head removed with one new antenna and two remote radio heads installed on a new antenna mounting structure. Assistant Planner Badalucca stated the height of the antenna would not change and the application was before the CT Siting Council, who provided notice via their own letter under agenda item 14d.

c. **Town of Ledyard CGS Referral** dated September 14, 2026 received via email September 14, 2026, and received via certified mail September 17, 2026 regarding PZ#26-7SITE & PZ#26-8CAM – 55 Chapman Lane (Map ID: 75-440-55), Gales Ferry, CT – Applicant / Owner, Clark Cover Real Estate LLC for installation of (2) 1,263 sf ground mounted solar panels.

Assistant Planner Badalucca reported that the Town of Ledyard received the referred application in their office on August 19, 2026 and was received by the Town of Montville via certified mail on September 17, 2026. Assistant Planner Badalucca stated the application was on the agenda of the September 10, 2026 Town of Ledyard Planning & Zoning Commission. Assistant Planner Badalucca stated the application proposed to install two ground-mounted solar panels to the east of the east-most building outlined on the site plan at the Gales Ferry marina. Assistant Planner Badalucca stated she did not believe this would have any affected on any Montville residents.

d. **CT Siting Council** – EM-VER-086-260914 – Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless notice of intent to modify an existing telecommunications facility located at 911 Route 32, Montville, Connecticut, pursuant to Connecticut State Agencies Section §16-50j-72 and §16-50j-73.

Assistant Planner Badalucca reported that the letter received from the CT Siting Council was to notify that Robinson + Cole submitted an application for 911 Route 32, as previously discussed.

15. Executive Session: NONE

16. Adjournment.

MOTION (LUNDY/DESJARDINS) to ADJOURN the meeting at 7:49 p.m. All in favor. Motion APPROVED.